

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Tre Patent Application of

BILCHEV

Atty. Ref.: 36-1442; Confirmation No. 2964

Appl. No. 09/830,180

TC/A.U. 2135

Filed: April 23, 2001

Examiner: O. Okpati

For: A CIPHER

* * * * * * * * * *

November 22, 2005

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

In response to the final Office Action dated 06/22/2005, reconsideration of this application is requested in light of the following remarks.

The repeated rejection of claims 1-8, 10-26, 30-41, 43-61, 65-70 and 79-83 under 35 U.S.C. §103 as allegedly being made "obvious" over the single cited Wilson '232 reference is respectfully traversed. Similarly, the further repeated grounds of rejection for claims 9, 27-29, 42 and 62-64 (as allegedly being made "obvious" based on Wilson in view of Feistel '055) and of claims 71-78 (as allegedly being made "obvious" based on the single Feistel '055 reference taken alone) are all respectfully again traversed.